## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 21-mj-30334

v.

Kyle Hobbs,

Defendant.

# Stipulation and Order to Continue the Preliminary Examination and Find Excludable Delay

The parties jointly submit this stipulation and request that the Court order the time period in which an indictment must be returned be continued for just over 30 days and find that the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy indictment. The parties agree that the preliminary hearing date currently scheduled for January 31, 2022, should be adjourned to March 9, 2022.

Ordinarily, an indictment must be returned within thirty days from the date on which a defendant is arrested on a complaint. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). That period may be continued by the Court, however, and under some circumstances the resulting period of delay may be found to be excludable delay for purposes of the

30-day clock of § 3161(b). 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The parties jointly request that the Court continue the period in which an indictment must be returned by 30 days, because the parties need more time to meet and confer regarding the charges. Specifically, the government intends to offer pre-indictment discovery to the defense. The defense will need to evaluate that discovery and then the parties plan to meet and confer about a potential resolution of the case, including reviewing evidence, calculating the guidelines range under the United States Sentencing Guidelines, and discussing terms of supervised release. Failure to grant a continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). Notably, some of the discovery in this case must be reviewed at a secure government facility, further justifying the delay sought here.

The parties further stipulate and request that the Court find that, in light of the factors set forth in § 3161(h)(7)(B), the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy indictment, and that such time should therefore be excluded from the time within

which an indictment must be filed. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) (allowing for periods of excludable delay).

### IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DAWN N. ISON United States Attorney

#### s/Kevin M. Mulcahy

Kevin M. Mulcahy Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226 Kevin.Mulcahy@usdoj.gov (313) 226-9713

Date: January 28, 2022

### s/Samuel Bennett (with consent)

Samuel J. Bennett Attorney for Defendant 25600 Woodward Avenue, Suite 214 Royal Oak, MI 48067 sam@bennettplc.com (248) 281-6991

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 21-mj-30334

v.

Kyle Hobbs,

Defendant.

# Order Continuing the Preliminary Hearing Date and Finding Excludable Delay

The Court has considered the parties' stipulation and joint motion to continue the preliminary examination by just over 30 days, and hereby grants that request. For the reasons described in the parties' stipulation, which the Court adopts as its own, the Court specifically finds that failure to grant a continuance would likely make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

Accordingly, the Court finds, after considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B), that the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that this continuance qualifies as excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the preliminary examination currently set for January 31, 2022, be adjourned to March 9, 2022, and that the time period be excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Elizabeth A. Stafford
Hon. Elizabeth A. Stafford

United States Magistrate Judge

Entered: January 28, 2022